IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6425 OF 2005

Shri Vikram Janakraj Ahuja ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Shri Sanjeev P. Kadam for the Applicant.
Ms M.H. Mhatre, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 30, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. Application for Anticipatory Bail was filed by the Applicant before the Sessions Court as he apprehended arrest in connection with the complaint lodged by Mrs. Pooja V. Ahuja with Bhandup/Mahim Police Station. The learned Additional Sessions Judge rejected the Application by noting that the complainant has lodged a non-cognizable case against the present Applicant with the Bhandup Police Station alleging commission of offence punishable under sections 323, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. The learned A.P.P. on instructions of the concerned Officer of Bhandup Police Station, Mumbai states that the complainant Mrs. Puja V. Ahuja has lodged report regarding non-cognizable offence which

is referred to in the order of the Additional Sessions Judge and no F.I.R. has been registered as of today against the Applicant.

3. In view of this statement and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the documents which are annexed to the Application, the Respondent will have to give seventy-two hours notice to the Applicant in the event the Respondent desires to arrest the Applicant.

4. Hence, I pass the following order:

: O R D E R :

i) In the event the Respondent desires to arrest the Applicant in connection with complaint lodged by Mrs. Puja V. Ahuja at Bhandup or Mahim Police Station in Mumbai, the Applicant will be given seventy-two hours advance notice.

ii) Application is disposed of in above terms.

JUDGE